	Case 2:21-cv-01225-DAD-DB Docume	ent 9 Filed 11/04/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JAMES JORDAN BRITT,	No. 2:21-cv-01225-DAD-DB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
14	PLACER COUNTY JAIL, et al.,	THIS ACTION DUE TO PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND FAILURE
15	Defendants.	TO OBEY A COURT ORDER
16		(Doc. No. 8)
17		
18	Plaintiff James Jordan Britt is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in	
19	this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United	
20	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On September 12, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and	
22	recommendations recommending this action be dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's	
23	failure to obey a court order and failure to prosecute this action. (Doc. No. 8.) The pending	
24	findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections	
25	thereto were to be filed within twenty-one (21) days after service. (<i>Id.</i> at 2.) To date, no	
26	/////	
27		
28	/////	1

1 objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so 2 has now passed.¹ 3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a 4 de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the 5 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. 6 Accordingly: 7 1. The findings and recommendations issued on September 12, 2022 (Doc. No. 8) are 8 adopted in full; 9 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to prosecute 10 this action and failure to obey a court order; and 11 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. 12 IT IS SO ORDERED. 13 Dated: **November 3, 2022** 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 The service copy of the September 12, 2022 findings and recommendations, which was mailed to plaintiff at his address of record, was returned to the court as undeliverable, "not in custody." 26 The service copies of the court's prior orders dated March 15, 2022 were also returned to the court as undeliverable, "not in custody." Plaintiff was required to file a notice of his change of 27

Case 2:21-cv-01225-DAD-DB Document 9 Filed 11/04/22 Page 2 of 2

address with the court no later than June 3, 2022. To date, plaintiff has not filed a notice of his

change of address or otherwise communicate with the court.

28